High-Redshift Supernova Survey with Shock Breakout

Nozomu Tominaga (Konan University)

21st Nov 2012 Supernovae, Dark Energy and Cosmology



Shock breakout

- What is shock breakout?
- Observation and theoretical model
- Optical surveys
- HSC transient survey
 - Strategy
 - Science cases

Shock breakout

NT, Blinnikov, Baklanov, + 2009 ApJ 705 L10 NT, Morokuma, Blinnikov, + 2011 ApJS 193 20

What is shock breakout?



Massive Star (>10M_☉)

e capture SNe (8-10M_☉) Core collapse Shock formation

At the shock emergence, a stored energy is released as radiation.

Spectra are quasi-blackbody $T \sim R^{-3/4}E^{1/4}$

<u>Typical properties</u> timescale: 100sec ~ 1day peak wavelength: X-ray ~ UV





Shock breakout of Type IIP SN resting and model 5 E(B-V)_{gal}=0.02mag NUV **SNLS** 4 **SuperNova Legacy Survey** 3 SNLS/CFHT g-band 2 SNLS/CFHT r-band Plateau SNLS/CFHT i-band SNLS/CFHT z-band ⁻¹ux [10⁻³² W m⁻² Hz⁻¹ GALEX neor-UV 0 -2 5 **Optical FUV** 3 2 20M_☉, Z=Z_☉, E₅₁=1.2 1 0 Schawinski et al. 08 Gezari et al. 08 -1 -2 53150 53125 -20 -10 0 10 20

Days since the peak (observer frame) [Days] (observed frame)

When the same SN takes place at z=1,



Hours from the peak (observer frame) [Hours]

Two optical surveys of shock breakout

- Nearby shock breakout survey
 - Aim: detailed investigation of shock breakout follow-up spectroscopy, multicolor light curves observations of plateau and tail phases

Tanaka-san's talk

- Kiso Supernova Survey (KISS) from Apr 2012
- High-z shock breakout survey
 - Aim: detection of the highest-z normal CCSNe investigation of cosmic evolution multicolor light curves of shock breakout
 - Subaru/Hyper Suprime-Cam survey from Aug 2013

Nearby shock breakout survey with Kiso Schmidt telescope

- Kiso Wide-Field Camera (KWFC)
 - Diameter: 1.05m FoV: 4deg²
 - m_{lim} (10ơ) w/ 15min: 22.1(g)





from Apr 2012



Kiso Supernova Survey (KISS)

- observes SDSS fields in g band
- 3min exposure (m_{lim}~21mag) with ~1hr interval
 - ~3 shock breakout in the 3 year project
- $m_{plateau}$ ~20mag, m_{tail} ~22mag \rightarrow follow-up obs.



High-z shock breakout survey with Subaru telescope



Theoretical expectation



How to identify them from LCs?



Multicolor observations in blue bands with ~hour intervals are essential to detect and identify shock breakout.

NT-

HSC transient survey

Tomoki Morokuma (Tokyo), Naoki Yasuda (Kavli IPMU), Yuji Urata (NCU, Taiwan), Kuiyun Huang (ASIAA), Masaomi Tanaka (NAOJ), Jun E. Okumura (Kyoto), Tomonori Totani (Kyoto), Nozomu Tominaga (Konan), Takashi J. Moriya (Kavli IPMU), Robert Quimby (Tokyo/Kavli IPMU), Keiichi Maeda (Kavli IPMU), Shigehiro Nagataki (Kyoto), Ching-Hsuan Shen (NCU, Taiwan), Cheng-Hsien Tang (NCU, Taiwan), Meng-Feng Tsai (NCU, Taiwan), Min-Feng Wang (NCU, Taiwan), Naoki Yoshida (Tokyo)

Objectives

- Type la SN
- Core-Collapse SN
- Shock Breakout
- Type IIn/IIL LSN
- Type Ic LSN
- GRB Orphan Afterglow
- QSO





Kasliwal 11

Cadence requirements

- $\tau \sim day \rightarrow in a night and/or in a month$
 - SN shock breakout
 - solar system, [weak lensing (i): >10-30min]
- τ -month \rightarrow in a month and in 2-4 months
 - SN Ia, core-collapse SN, GRB orphan afterglow
 - AGN
- τ ~1-several year(s) \rightarrow in 5 years
 - Super Luminous SN
 - AGN



led by Nishizawa-san, Yasuda-san, Tominaga

- Dynamic scheduling is compatible with transient studies to balance completeness and maintain cadence in the multi bands.
- Satisfactions of cadence requirements have small disadvantage in completeness and dead time. (preliminary)
 - Wide: daily/monthly schedule for WL, shock breakout, solar system, AGN
 - Deep/UD: 1 intensive year for SNe Ia, CCSNe & continuous obs. over 5 years for SLSNe

Transient finding & classification

5

led by Tanaka-san, Urata-san

Finding methods

- Catalog finding
- Image subtraction

Classification

- Color (evolution) & multicolor light curves
- Photometric/
 spectroscopic redshift
 - Follow-up observations

Challenging for shock breakout -0.5



Science cases with HSC-transient survey

(deep)/UD





1.0

Redshift

1.5

2.0

8.0

0.5

led by Yasuda-san, Okumura-san

- SDSS: 0.05 < z < 0.4
- SNLS: 0.3 < z < 1.0
- HST: z > 1.0
- DES: 0.3 < z < 1.0
 ~5000 SN la

SN Ia @ z > 1 is still small number.

HSC-UD survey ~130 SN (~60 at z > 1) for S/N>5 ~80 SN (~20 at z > 1) for S/N>10 (3 bands detection)

Type la SNe -rate-

led by Okumura-san

SN la rate density

- Most accurate SN Ia
 rate upto z~1.5
- constrain delay time distribution
- Delay time distribution
 - delay time between star formation and SNe la
 - constrain progenitor system





Core-collapse SNe

led by Tanaka-san

Available for free with SNe la cadence



wide/deep/UD

Shock breakout

led by Tominaga, Morokuma-san

- Brightest phenomenon (normal SNe @z~3)
 - >3 g- and >1 r-bands obs. in 1 night



Days since bolometric peak (observer frame) [Days]

deep/UD

Super Luminous Supernova

led by Moriya-san, Urata-san, Quimby-san



Ζ

SN rate history



Hopkins & Beacom 06 and references therein

Distance ladder in SNe



Hopkins & Beacom 06 and references therein

Summary

- Shock breakout is the most promising phenomenon to detect high-z normal CCSNe.
- Nearby optical survey (KISS) started.
 - detailed study (spectroscopy, long-term evolution)
- High-z optical survey w/ Subaru will start.
 - ~5 shock breakout/night (10% at z>2.3)
 - identified by short timescale and blue color
- Many SN science cases are available with HSCwide/deep/UD.
 - Distance ladder in SNe upto z~4 connecting to GRBs