Probing the Higgs Boson with M_{T2} and MAOS Momentum

K.C., S.Y. Choi, J.S. Lee and C.B. Park arXiv:0908.0079 [hep-ph]W.S. Cho, K.C., J.S. Lee and C.B. Park in preparation

> Kiwoon Choi (KAIST) IPMU Focus Week, Nov. (2009)

> > ▲ロト ▲団ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三回 - のへの

Outline

- Current Strategy for Higgs Boson Search
- **2** M_{T2} -Assisted On-Shell (MAOS) Momentum
- Application of MAOS Momentum to the Higgs Search via H → WW* → ℓνℓν

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Summary

Current Status of the SM Higgs Search

- LEP bound:
 - $m_H > 114.5 \text{ GeV} (95\% \text{ CL})$
- Precision EW measurements:

 $m_H < 186(157)$ GeV (95% CL)

• Tevatron data:

 $m_H < 160 \text{ GeV}$ or $m_H > 170 \text{ GeV}$ (95% CL)

 \implies Most probable range of the SM Higgs boson mass:

 $114.5 \text{ GeV} < m_H < 160 \text{ GeV}$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Major Higgs Search Channels at the LHC ATLAS Report, arXiv:0901.0512

$$\begin{split} H &\to WW^* \to \ell \nu \ell \nu, \quad H \to \gamma \gamma \\ H &\to ZZ^* \to 4\ell, \quad H \to \tau \bar{\tau} \end{split}$$

Discovery Significance:





Our Observation: With MAOS momentum (and M_{T2}), the sensitivity of the *WW* channel can be significantly improved.

- \implies (i) significantly enhance the efficiency of the Higgs search and mass measurement over the mass range $115 \text{ GeV} < m_H < 190 \text{ GeV}.$
 - (ii) make the *WW*-channel as important as the $\gamma\gamma$ or $\tau\bar{\tau}$ channel for $m_H < 130$ GeV.

Current Search Strategy for $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$ ATLAS Report, arXiv:0901.0512

How to suppress the backgrounds and which observables are (most) sensitive to the Higgs signals (i.e. to m_H)?

- Backgrounds: $pp \to WW$, $t\bar{t}$, single top, $Z \to \ell\bar{\ell}$, ... These backgrounds, except for WW, can be suppressed enough by the basic selection cut on { p_T^{ℓ} , p_T^{jet} , p_T^{WW} , E_T^{miss} , $m_{\ell\ell}$ }.
- Remained WW backgrounds can be controlled by $\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell}$ (transverse dilepton opening angle) which encodes the Higgs spin correlation:



Use large angle events ($\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell} > 1.6$) as control region and small angle events ($\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell} < 1.6$) as signal region.

• Use the transverse mass of $WW \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$ as the main observable to probe the Higgs signals.

Transverse mass has been used often as an alternative to the invariant mass for events with missing energy:

$$M_T^2(WW) = m_{\ell\ell}^2 + m_{\nu\nu}^2 + 2\sqrt{|\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell\ell}|^2 + m_{\ell\ell}^2}\sqrt{|\mathbf{p}_T^{\nu\nu}|^2 + m_{\nu\nu}^2} - 2\mathbf{p}_T^{\ell\ell} \cdot \mathbf{p}_T^{\nu\nu}$$
$$(\mathbf{p}_T^{\nu\nu} = \mathbf{p}_T^{WW} - \mathbf{p}_T^{\ell\ell} = \mathbf{p}_T)$$

As $m_{\nu\nu}$ is not available, one considers a variant:

$$M_T^{\text{approx}} = M_T|_{m_{\nu\nu} = m_{\ell\ell}}$$
 (Rainwater and Zeppenfeld)
or $M_T^{\text{true}} = M_T|_{m_{\nu\nu} = 0}$ (Barr, Gripaios, Lester)

 M_T^{true} has a slightly better efficiency than M_T^{approx} , but almost the same for the light Higgs mass range $m_H < 160$ GeV. Barr, Gripaios, Lester; ATLAS Higgs Search Group

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Our Proposal:

(i) Use (m_H^{maos})² = (p_ℓ + p_ℓ + p_ν^{maos} + p_ν^{maos})² as the main observable to probe the Higgs signals.
(ii) Use M_{T2} as an additional event selection variable.

• m_H = Central Peak of m_H^{maos} = Endpoint of M_T^{true}



• The shape of m_H^{maos} -distribution and the S/B ratio can be efficiently controlled by the M_{T2} cut.

M_{T2}-Assisted-On-Shell (MAOS) Momentum

Cho, KC, Kim, Park, arXiv:0810.4853; KC, Choi, Lee, Park, arXiv:0908.0079

MAOS momentum is a kinematic variable designed to approximate systematically the invisible particle momenta in generic events producing two invisible particles with the same mass.



 $pp \rightarrow H + U(\text{ISR}) \rightarrow W + W^* + U \rightarrow \ell(p) + \bar{\nu}(k) + \bar{\ell}(q) + \nu(l) + U$

Construction of the MAOS neutrino momenta $k_{\mu}^{maos}~\&~l_{\mu}^{maos}$ for

$$W(p+k)W^*(q+l) \to \ell(p) + \nu(k) + \ell(q) + \nu(l)$$

M_{T2}: Lester and Summers

$$M_{T2}(\mathbf{p}_T, \mathbf{q}_T, \mathbf{p}_T) = \min_{\mathbf{k}_T + \mathbf{l}_T = \mathbf{p}_T} \left[\max \left(M_T(\mathbf{p}_T, \mathbf{k}_T), M_T(\mathbf{q}_T, \mathbf{l}_T) \right) \right]$$

• Determine the transverse components with M_{T2} and p_{T} :

$$M_{T2} = M_T(\mathbf{p}_T, \mathbf{k}_T^{\text{mass}}) = M_T(\mathbf{q}_T, \mathbf{l}_T^{\text{mass}}), \quad \mathbf{p}_T = \mathbf{k}_T^{\text{mass}} + \mathbf{l}_T^{\text{mass}}$$

• Determine the longitudinal and energy components with (pseudo) on-shell conditions:

$$(k^{\text{maos}})^2 = (l^{\text{maos}})^2 = 0$$

 $(p + k^{\text{maos}})^2 = (q + l^{\text{maos}})^2 = M_{T2}^2 \quad (m_H < 2M_W)$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• MAOS momenta are designed to have $\mathbf{k}_{\mu}^{\text{maos}} = \mathbf{k}_{\mu}^{\text{true}} \& \mathbf{l}_{\mu}^{\text{maos}} = \mathbf{l}_{\mu}^{\text{true}}$ for the M_{T2} endpoint events for both signals and backgrounds:

* Since a relatively large fraction of events are near the endpoint, particulary after p_T and E_T^{miss} cuts, MAOS momenta provide a reasonably good approximation to the true neutrino momenta.

* One can systematically improve the accuracy of the MAOS approximation with an appropriate M_{T2} cut: $M_{T2} > M_{T2}^{low}$

* Distribution of m_H^{maos} for signal events has a narrow peak at m_H^{true} under a proper M_{T2} -cut selecting the near endpoint events:

$$(m_H^{\text{maos}})^2 = (p+q+k^{\text{maos}}+l^{\text{maos}})^2$$

• $M_{T2}^{\max}(\text{signal}) = m_H/2 < M_W, \quad M_{T2}^{\max}(\text{background}) = M_W$

* For m_H significantly lower than $2M_W$, one can employ an upper M_{T2} -cut, $M_{T2} < M_{T2}^{\text{high}} \sim m_H/2$, to reduce the backgrounds without touching the signals.

• Correlation between $\Delta \Phi_{ll}$ and M_{T2} :

 $M_{T2}^2 = 2|\mathbf{p}_T||\mathbf{q}_T|(1 + \cos\Delta\Phi_{ll})$ for vanishing ISR

* The angle cut, $\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell} < \Delta \Phi^{\text{cut}}$, selects events with higher M_{T2} , thus improve not only the S/B ratio, but also the accuracy of the MAOS approximation to neutrino momenta.

One can employ appropriate $\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell}$ and M_{T2} cuts:

 $\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell} < \Delta \Phi^{\rm cut}, \qquad M_{T2}^{\rm how} < M_{T2} < M_{T2}^{\rm high}$

to optimize the S/B ratio and the accuracy of the MAOS momentum approximation (= narrow peak of m_H^{maos}).

Scatter plot of $\Delta \Phi_{\ell\ell}$ and M_{T2} after the basic selection cut (signal for $m_H = 140$ GeV and background)



 $\mathcal{O} \land \mathcal{O}$

Distribution of $m_H^{\text{maos}}(\text{signal})$ **under the angle and** M_{T2} **cuts** $(m_H = 140 \text{ GeV})$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Distributions of $m_H^{\text{maos}}(\text{signal+background})$

- Event generation with PYTHIA6.4 with $\int L dt = 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- Include $q\bar{q}, gg \rightarrow WW$ and $t\bar{t}$ backgrounds
- Detector simulation with PGS4
- Event selection including the optimal cut of M_{T2} and $\Delta \Phi_{ll}$



1- σ error of m_H from the likelihood fit to m_H^{mass} or M_T^{true}



A similar improvement of the discovery significance is expected.

Cho, KC, Lee, Park, in preparation

Summary

• MAOS momenta provide a systematic approximation to the invisible particle momenta in missing energy events, which can be useful for the SM processes

$$\begin{split} H &\to W^+ W^- \to \ell^+ \nu \ell \bar{\nu}, \\ t \bar{t} &\to b W^+ \bar{b} W^- \to b \ell^+ \nu \bar{b} \ell \bar{\nu}, \end{split}$$

as well as the new physics processes producing a pair of invisible WIMPs in the final state.

• Indeed, with MAOS momentum and M_{T2} applied to $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$, the efficiency of the Higgs search and mass measurement can be significantly improved for the most probable Higgs mass range: 115 GeV $< m_H < 160$ GeV.